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#### Abstract

A novel low-temperature intramolecular exchange was detected by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrometry in the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$salts of the title compound. The process causes the pairwise exchange in the dianion ring of $\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}$, $\mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime \prime}}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}$ with $\mathrm{C}_{8^{\prime \prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{7^{\prime \prime}}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}$, respectively. The free energy of activation ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{\text {exch }}$ ) for the dipotassium salt $\left(2^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}\right)$in THF- $d_{8}$ at 230 K is $12.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Two key questions are addressed: (1) Why are these carbons anisochronous and (2) what is the mechanism of exchange? NMR data for 1-cyclooctatetraenylnaphthalenedipotassium $\left(\mathbf{3}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}\right)$as well as ab initio $\mathrm{HF} / 3-21 \mathrm{G}^{(*)}$ calculations for $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}^{2-}$, and $\mathbf{3}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$indicate that the nonequivalence is due to both slow rotation across a barrier at which the naphthalene and $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ rings are approximately coplanar and slow inversion of the neutral COT ring. This results in the noteworthy circumstance of diastereotopic carbons being observed in a molecule that does not possess either a stereogenic or a prostereogenic center. Comparison of $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ exch and $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{\mathrm{BS}}$ for $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$with the corresponding values for $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{Li}^{+}$and of $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ exch with $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ for ring inversion in 1,4 -dicyclooctatetraenylnaphthalene leads to the conclusion that $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ ring rotation and COT ring inversion both contribute to exchange in $\mathbf{2}^{2-}$ / $2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$in a $3: 1$ ratio, but that exchange occurs exclusively by ring rotation in $2^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{Li}^{+}$. The latter result is attributed to looser ion pairing in the dilithium (and disodium) salts.


We have been interested in the process of "gated" charge transfer in bridged dicyclooctatetraene dianions. ${ }^{1-3}$ The gating step in this system involves both ring flattening and bond equalization in the neutral COT ring, changes that necessarily precede electron transfer and cation migration. As a consequence, gating is closely related to the well-known processes of ring inversion (RI) and bond shift (BS) (Scheme 1). The dipotassium salt of 1,4-dicyclooctatetraenylnaphthalene ( $\mathbf{1}^{2-/}$ $2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$) undergoes one or more additional dynamic process below $233 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{1}$ Analogous behavior was also seen for $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$. The observations that motivated the present study are presented in Figure 1.


Four of the seven ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals of the dianion ring of $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$ at 225 K coalesce to afford a total of five signals at 255 K . If one makes the reasonable assumption that the most remote carbons ( $\mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}$ ) are accidentally isochronous (magnetically equivalent), then this behavior is fully consistent with a pairwise exchange of $\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime \prime}}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}$ with $\mathrm{C}_{8^{\prime \prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{7^{\prime \prime}}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}$, respectively. This led us to address two key questions: (1) Why
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## Scheme 1
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are the exchanging carbons anisochronous and (2) what is the mechanism of exchange? The answers to these questions, which involve some unexpectedly complex results, are detailed below.


## Results

Synthesis. 1,5-Dicyclooctatetraenylnaphthalene (2) was prepared in $35 \%$ yield by the Pd-catalyzed (Stille) coupling of 1,5diiodonaphthalene with (trimethylstannyl)cyclooctatetraene ${ }^{4}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3^{-}}\right.$ SnCOT). Analogously, 1-cyclooctatetraenylnaphthalene (3) was prepared in $67 \%$ yield by the coupling of 1-bromonaphthalene

[^1]

Figure 1. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra for the dianion ring of 1,5 -dicyclooctatetraenylnaphthalenedipotassium ( $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$) in THF- $d_{8}$ at 225 (bottom) and 255 K (top).
with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SnCOT}$. This compound had been synthesized previously by the Cope-DeKock method ${ }^{5}$ in $11 \%$ yield. ${ }^{6}$


Kinetic Studies. The alkali metal salts $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Li}$, $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{K}$ ) and $\mathbf{3}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$were generated by carefully contacting a solution of $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ in THF- $d_{8}$ with the alkali metal in a sealed NMR tube. In addition to the expected exchanges of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ due to BS in the neutral ring (broadening and pairwise exchange of $\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime}}$ with $\mathrm{C}_{8^{\prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{7^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime}}$, respectively) (see structure $\mathbf{2}^{2-}$ ) and intramolecular transfer of charge between the COT rings (exchange of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in COT with those in $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ ), ${ }^{1-3}$ we observed another, lower energy exchange process affecting the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals in the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ ring of $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$(Figure 1) and $2^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}$.

As seen in Figure 1, this process causes the "doublets" at ca. $\delta 97$ and 90 at 225 K to collapse to singlets at 255 K . We assume that the difference in chemical shifts at 225 K between $\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{8^{\prime \prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{7^{\prime \prime}}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}$ decreases with increasing distance from the naphthalene ring, i.e., $\Delta \delta \mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}, 8^{\prime \prime}}>$ $\Delta \delta \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime \prime}, 7^{\prime \prime}}>\Delta \delta \mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}}$. Assuming that the singlet at $\delta 88$ is due to $\mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}$ being accidentally isochronous, then the NMR temperature dependence is fully consistent with pairwise exchange of these carbons.

[^2]Table 1. Kinetic Data for Bond Shift in 2 and $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{M}^{+}$in THF- $d_{8}$ at 280 K

| compound | $T$ range $(\mathrm{K})$ | $k_{\mathrm{BS}}{ }^{a}$ | $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{\mathrm{BS}}{ }^{b}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $260-280$ | 16.9 | 14.8 |
| $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$ | $255-280$ | 17.2 | 14.8 |
| $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | $260-280$ | 22.5 | 14.6 |
| $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{Li}^{+}$ | $260-275$ | $29.7^{c}$ | 14.5 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{In} \mathrm{s}^{-1} ; \pm 10 \%$. Calculated from the van't Hoff plot. ${ }^{b}$ In kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$; $\pm 0.1 .{ }^{c}$ Extrapolated.

Table 2. Rate Constants and Free Energies of Activation for Exchange in the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ Ring of Alkali Metal Salts of 1,5-Dicyclooctatetraenylnaphthalene Dianion

| compound | $T(\mathrm{~K})$ | $k_{\text {exch }}{ }^{a}$ | $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ exch $^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$ | 230 | 5.05 | 12.6 |
| $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | 210 | 2.5 | 11.8 |
| $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{Li}^{+}$ | 180 | $>2.7$ | $<10^{c}$ |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{In} \mathrm{s}^{-1} ; \pm 15 \% .{ }^{b}$ In kcal mol ${ }^{-1} ; \pm 0.2 .{ }^{c}$ Maximum estimated from undetectable line broadening ( $<0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) at 180 K .

BS rate constants ( $k_{\mathrm{BS}}$ ) were calculated for duplicate samples and averaged for a number of temperatures where NMR line broadening could be measured in the slow exchange region (Table 1). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ line broadening for 2 was measured for two nonoverlapped signals ( $\delta 135.9$ and 131.9) over a 20 degree temperature range. Although the COT signals coalesced on increasing the temperature from 273 to 298 K , five distinct COT signals had not emerged from the baseline by 323 K , as is expected for the fast exchange region for BS .

The rate constants for BS in $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{M}^{+}$were determined for two samples of each salt $(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{K}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ line broadening was measured for five nonoverlapped signals [ $\delta$ 137.2, 133.8, 132.6, 131.8, 131.6), ( $\delta 136.7,133.2,132.2,131.6$, 131.0), and ( $\delta 136.7,133.2,132.2,131.4,131.1$ )] over 25, 20, and 15 degree temperature ranges for the $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Na}$, and Li salts, respectively.

The rate constant for the new exchange process ( $k_{\text {exch }}$ ) was calculated for duplicate samples at a single temperature where line broadening could be measured in the low-temperature region (Table 2). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ line broadening was measured for four nonoverlapped signals ( $\delta 97.1,96.6,90.3,90.1$ ) at 230 K for $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$ and for two nonoverlapped signals ( $\delta 93.0 .92 .8$ ) at 210 K for $2^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}$. No line broadening ( $<0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) was detected for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{Li}^{+}$down to 180 K or for that of $\mathbf{3}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$down to 173 K .

## Discussion

Nonequivalence of Carbons in the Dianion Ring. There are at least three possible dynamic processes that can occur in $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$: (1) rotation around the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}-$ naphthalene bond, (2) inversion of the COT ring, and (3) rotation around the COTnaphthalene bond. Fast rotation around the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}-$ naphthalene bond on the NMR time scale would cause rapid exchange, so the observed exchange necessarily requires slow rotation around this bond.

In Table 3, we list the four possible scenarios for the observation of exchange. For slow $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$-naphthalene rotation with an $\omega=90^{\circ}$ barrier (where $\omega=\omega\left(\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{C}_{1^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ as numbered in $\mathbf{2}^{2-}$ ) (scenario 1), one side of the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ ring would be restricted to the "inside" (i.e., toward the second ring of naphthalene) while the other would be "outside", thereby causing the two sets of carbons to be anisochronous. This result would be obtained regardless of whether dynamic processes of the neutral COT ring are fast or slow. Alternatively, if the overall barrier occurs at $0^{\circ}$ one set of $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ carbons would be

Table 3. Scenarios for the Observation of Exchange of Carbons in the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ Ring of $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$

| scenario | rotation of $\mathrm{COT}^{2-a}$ | inversion of COT | rotation of COT |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | slow $\left(90^{\circ}\right.$ barrier $)$ | fast or slow | fast or slow |
| 2 | slow | fast | slow ${ }^{b}$ |
| 3 | slow | slow | slow |
| 4 | slow | slow | fast |

${ }^{a}$ The naphthalene and $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ rings are coplanar in the transition structure ( $0^{\circ}$ barrier) unless indicated otherwise. ${ }^{b}$ The naphthalene ring and the $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}$, bond of COT must not be coplanar in the minimum energy conformation.


Figure 2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 1-cyclooctatetraenylnaphthalenedipotassium $\left(\mathbf{3}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}\right)$in THF- $d_{8}$ at 193 K .
restricted to the "top" of the naphthalene ring and the other to the "bottom". This renders them isochronous provided that both rotation and inversion of the neutral COT ring are fast on the NMR time scale.

The question of whether the barrier for rotation of $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ occurs at 0 or $90^{\circ}$ was addressed both experimentally and theoretically. The dipotassium salt of 1-cyclooctatetraenylnaphthalene ( $\mathbf{3}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$) was studied to determine whether an analogous exchange could be observed in the absence of a proximate COT ring. No broadening of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals of the dianion ring was observed down to 173 K (see 193 K spectrum in Figure 2). This indicates that any restricted rotation about the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}-$ naphthalene bond must have $\omega \approx 0^{\circ}$ as the transition structure (TS). The same conclusion necessarily also applies to $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$ since, as discussed below, a proximate COT ring has almost no electronic influence on the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ ring.

This conclusion is supported by geometry optimizations at the ab initio HF/3-21G ${ }^{(*)}$ level using two models for $3^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$. The first, which we designate $\mathbf{3}^{2-}$, does not include the counterions and is a model for a fully solvent-separated ion pair. In the second, termed $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$(solvated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$), the potassium ions were fixed above and below the center of the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ ring at a distance $(2.400 \AA)$ similar to that found by X-ray diffraction $(2.32-2.46 \AA)$ for contact ion pairs between $\mathrm{COT}^{2-7}$ or substituted $\mathrm{COT}^{2-8}$ and glyme- or diglyme-solvated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and all other parameters were optimized. Minima in the inter-ring torsional angles of 35.2 and $57.7^{\circ}$, respectively, were calculated for these two models (Table 4). As expected, there is a smaller twist in $3^{2-}$ because of the stronger $\pi$ donor ability of the uncomplexed dianion ring.

The barrier for rotation in $\mathbf{3}^{2-}$ is calculated to occur at or near $\omega=90^{\circ}$ with an energy of $\geq 7.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. In contrast, the contact ion-paired dianion $\left[3^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}\right.$(solvated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$)] is calculated to have a significantly larger barrier ( $\geq 17.7 \mathrm{kcal}$

Table 4. Relative Energies and Torsional Angles for HF/3-21G ${ }^{*}$ ) Geometry-Optimized Ground States and $0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ Conformers of $\mathbf{3}^{2-}$ and $\mathbf{3}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}\left(\right.$solvated $\left.\mathrm{K}^{+}\right)$

| compound | state | $\omega^{a}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\Delta E^{c}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}^{2-}$ | GS | 35.2 | -685.39759 | 0 |
|  |  | $0^{d}$ | -685.39173 | 3.68 |
| $\mathbf{3}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$ |  | $90^{d}$ | -685.38649 | 6.97 |
| $\left(\text { solvated } \mathrm{K}^{+}\right)^{e}$ | GS | 57.7 | -1878.24290 | 0 |
|  |  | $0^{d}$ | -1878.21467 | 17.72 |
|  |  | $90^{d}$ | -1878.24001 | 1.81 |

${ }^{a} \omega\left(\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{C}_{1^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, in deg; twist of $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ relative to the naphthalene ring. ${ }^{b}$ In hartrees. ${ }^{c}$ In kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ relative to the ground state. ${ }^{d}$ Value of $\omega$ fixed to approximate the transition structure. ${ }^{e}$ The potassium ions were fixed $2.400 \AA$ above and below the center of the ring (approximately as found by X-ray diffraction [refs 7, 8]) and all other parameters were optimized.
$\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) at or near $\omega=0^{\circ}$. The uncomplexed dianion has a smaller barrier at $0^{\circ}$ than at $90^{\circ}$ despite greater steric interactions at $0^{\circ}$ owing to a greater $\pi$ delocalization in the latter. Conversely, $3^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$(solvated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$), which is a weaker $\pi$ donor, is stabilized much more by reduced steric interactions than it is destabilized by loss of $\pi$ conjugation on twisting from 0 to $90^{\circ}$. The aforementioned NMR evidence for a $0^{\circ}$ rotational barrier for $\mathbf{3}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$is in accord with these molecular orbital calculations since previous studies showed that the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ ring is strongly contact ion paired with $\mathrm{K}^{+}$in THF both at room temperature ${ }^{9}$ and at $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{10}$

These results require that the neutral COT ring play an important role in the nonequivalence of the exchanging carbons in $\mathbf{2}^{2-}$ (scenarios 2-4 in Table 3). Slow rotation of the neutral COT ring (scenarios 2 and 3 ) would cause $\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{8^{\prime \prime}}$ of the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ ring to be anisochronous owing to their different relationship to the single and double bonds of the neutral COT ring. Note that for scenario 2, this requires that $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ of the COT ring be twisted relative to the naphthalene ring in the minimum energy conformation. (If $\omega=0^{\circ}$ on the NMR time scale in the latter, then the COT and naphthalene rings would effectively be coplanar due to fast COT ring inversion and the regions above and below the naphthalene ring would be magnetically equivalent.)

Slow inversion of the neutral COT ring (scenarios 3 and 4) results in the circumstance that $\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{8^{\prime \prime}}$ are anisochronous because they are diastereotopic. This is a consequence of the presence of both a chiral moiety (the monosubstituted COT ring) and a prochiral ${ }^{11}$ axis (the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$-naphthalene bond), a situation that is obtained even if there is rapid rotation of the neutral COT ring (scenario 4). We are aware of only one other example of diastereotopic atoms in molecules (the highly sterically hindered 1-(4-X-phenyl)-8-(2-methylphenyl)naphthalenes) $)^{12}$ that do not possess either a stereogenic or a prostereogenic ${ }^{11}$ center. The diastereomers of 3,6-di(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-2,5-dibromohydroquinone, which can be interconverted at >200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, represent a similar relationship. ${ }^{13}$

[^3]Table 5. Torsional Angles and Energies for Rotation and Inversion of the COT Ring of $\mathbf{3}$

| state | $\omega\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{6}\right)^{a, b}$ | $\left(E_{\mathrm{HF}}+\mathrm{ZPE}\right)_{\mathrm{rel}}{ }^{b, c}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| GS | 282.9 | $(0)$ |
| rotational minimum | 89.3 | 0.4 |
| rotational TS | 0.0 | 12.4 |
| rotational TS | 180.0 | 13.3 |
| ring inversion TS | 75.9 | 17.8 |

${ }^{a}$ Torsional angle in degrees; the numbering is the same as in structure $\mathbf{2}^{2-} .{ }^{b}$ Calculated at HF/3-21G. ${ }^{c}$ Energy relative to the GS; in kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

To investigate scenarios $2-4$, the energetics of rotation and inversion of the neutral COT ring in 3 were calculated at HF/ 3-21G (Table 5). The energy difference between the rotational minimum at $\omega=282.9^{\circ}$ and the maximum at $0^{\circ}$ was calculated to be $12.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ whereas that for RI was calculated to be $17.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. These values suggest that RI is slow on the NMR time scale, a conclusion that is supported by $k_{\text {RI }}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ (vide infra). Since TS energies are typically overestimated at the HF/3-21G level, ${ }^{14}$ rotation of the neutral COT ring is probably fast and scenario 4 is the most likely.

In support of this conclusion, we observed that the sharp 8.7 Hz doublet for $\mathrm{H}_{4,8}$ of 2 at 263 K changed to a broad singlet at 203 K . In contrast, the other two naphthalene ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonances remained relatively sharp at the lower temperature, although a slight broadening was also apparent for $\mathrm{H}_{2,6}$. These results are consistent with rapid rotation on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR time scale of the COT ring at 263 K and slow rotation at 203 K. The two rotational conformers given in Table 5 probably have different chemical shifts for the hydrogens proximate to the COT rings $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4,8}\right.$ and, to a lesser extent, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2,6}\right)$. These are averaged at 263 K but are broadened at 203 K as the slow exchange region (where they have different chemical shifts) is approached.

Mechanism of Exchange. Having established that the observation of anisochronous carbons is a consequence of both slow rotation about the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$-naphthalene bond and slow inversion of the neutral COT ring, we next addressed the question of the mechanism of exchange. We reasoned from the effect of ion pairing on the calculated rotational energy barriers in Table 4 that the counterion probably exerts a strong influence on the rate of rotation of the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ ring. The dependence of $k_{\text {RI }}$ on the counterion was less clear. We chose to investigate this question experimentally by an indirect approach rather than directly but less definitively by computation.

Ring inversion cannot be observed in $2^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{M}^{+}$without an additional prochiral or chiral reporter group. (The diastereotopic carbons in the dianion ring must be discounted because it is their mechanism of exchange that is under investigation.) However, the rate of BS in the neutral COT ring can be determined through the pairwise exchange of $\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime}}$ with $\mathrm{C}_{8^{\prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{7^{\prime}}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime}}$, respectively. Kinetic data for BS in 2 and $2^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{K})$ are given in Table 1.

Note that $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ BS decreases by only $0.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ at 280 K on going from $2^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$to $2^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{Li}^{+}$(Table 1). Consequently, we expect little dependence of the rate of RI on the counterion. Since the TS for RI is less delocalized than that for BS, the former should be even less sensitive to $\pi$ electronic effects and the small counterion effect observed for BS should apply for RI as well.

As seen in Table 2, the rate of the new exchange process is strongly dependent on the counterion. The value of $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ exch

[^4]decreases from $12.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ at 230 K for the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$salt to $<10 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ at $180 \mathrm{~K}^{2}$ for the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$salt. This clearly establishes ring rotation as the dominant pathway for exchange, especially for the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$salts.

A more quantitative dissection of this mechanism was achieved as follows. We were not able to measure $k_{\text {RI }}$ in 2 directly due to insufficient differences in the chemical shifts of the two diastereomers. However, $k_{\mathrm{BS}}$ in $1\left(18.2 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \text { at } 280 \mathrm{~K}\right)^{1}$ is identical within experimental error to $k_{\mathrm{BS}}$ in 2 (Table 1). This indicates that the COT ring has essentially identical steric environments in the two isomers.

The value of $k_{\mathrm{RI}}$ for each COT ring in $\mathbf{1}$ at 230 K (interpolated from data obtained over the range $226-242 \mathrm{~K}$ ) is $1.2 \mathrm{~s}^{-1.1,15}$ This value provides a very good estimate of $k_{\mathrm{RI}}$ in $2^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{M}^{+}$. Comparison with the value of $k_{\text {exch }}$ for $2^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}\left(5.05 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$ at 230 K ) (Table 5) indicates that approximately $1 / 4$ of the exchange occurs by COT ring inversion and $3 / 4$ by $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ ring rotation. The mechanism of exchange changes on going to the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$salts where it occurs solely or almost solely by ring rotation.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts of alkali metal salts of COT dianions in THF have previously been interpreted in terms of the larger cations existing solely or predominantly as contact ion pairs. ${ }^{9,10}$ In contrast, the chemical shifts of the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$salts indicate a small but significant proportion of solvent-separated ion pairs and/or more highly solvated contact ion pairs. Strong evidence for increased solvation of $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ ion pairs in the order $\mathrm{K}<\mathrm{Na}<\mathrm{Li}$ is also seen in the UV -visible spectra of alkali metal salts of $p, p^{\prime}$-dicyclooctatetraenylbiphenyl dianions in THF at $175 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{16}$ The above rate constants can therefore be explained by the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ ring being a better donor in the more strongly solvated $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$salts. That is, cation solvation facilitates ring rotation because it preferentially stabilizes the $0^{\circ}$ rotational barrier by promoting greater $\pi$-electron delocalization.

## Conclusions

The dianion ring carbons of $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}_{8^{\prime \prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{7^{\prime \prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}$ ) are anisochronous on the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR time scale at 230 K . This inequivalence is due to the pairs of carbons being diastereotopic as a result of both slow inversion of the chiral monosubstituted COT ring and slow rotation about the $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}-$ naphthalene bond, which is coincident with a prochiral axis. Thus, $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2 -}}$ is a rare example of a molecule with diastereotopic atoms that does not possess either a stereogenic or a prostereogenic ${ }^{11}$ center.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ exchange in $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$occurs with a rate constant of 5.05 $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ at 230 K . Two lines of analysis indicate that exchange in this salt occurs by a mixed mechanism involving both rotation of $\mathrm{COT}^{2-}$ and inversion of COT. First, inversion of the sterically identical COT ring in $\mathbf{1}$ is $\frac{1}{4}$ as fast $\left(k_{\mathrm{RI}}=1.2 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$ at 230 K$)$ as exchange in $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$. Second, changing the counterion from $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{K}$ to Li has almost no effect on $\Delta G_{\mathrm{BS}}^{\ddagger}$ in $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{M}^{+}$but causes $\Delta G_{\text {exch }}^{\ddagger}$ to decrease by over $2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$. This is consistent with the mechanism of exchange changing from rotation/inversion in $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$to pure rotation in $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{Li}^{+}$. This change is a reasonable consequence of a corresponding shift to looser ion pairs, which facilitate ring rotation through greater $\pi$ delocalization from the dianion ring.

[^5]
## Experimental Section

General. Diethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran were distilled from sodium metal/benzophenone ketyl. TLC was performed on silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ and preparative flash column chromatography was performed on $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ silica gel. NMR spectra were obtained at 300 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and at 75 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. The variable-temperature unit was calibrated by the chemical shift difference of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ peaks of methanol as a function of temperature according to the method of Van Geet. ${ }^{17}$ The temperature accuracy is estimated to be $\pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The free induction decay signals were sampled with 32 K data points. After zero filling and Fourier transformation, the spectral resolution was typically in the range of $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{~Hz} /$ point. Italicized ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts are those for $\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime}}$ $\mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime}}-\mathrm{C}_{8^{\prime}}$, which undergo pairwise exchange during bond shift. Elemental analyses were performed by Midwest Microlab, Inc., Indianapolis, Indiana.

Synthesis: 1-Cyclooctatetraenylnaphthalene (3). To a solution of 1-bromonaphthalene $(1.035 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(0.114 \mathrm{~g}, 0.125$ mmol ), and $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}(0.306 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 25 mL of anhydrous THF at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SnCOT}^{4}(1.339 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of dry THF. After 24 h the reaction mixture was diluted with 50 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 50 mL of $50 \%$ saturated aqueous KF was added. The mixture was stirred for 15 min and filtered, and the organic layer was washed with $1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $50 \%$ saturated aqueous $\mathrm{KF}, 2 \times 50$ mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ of brine. The combined aqueous layers were extracted with 25 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in 200 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane and 4 g of SG was added. The solvent was then removed by rotary evaporation and the residue was chromatographed on 50 g of SG with $10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane to afford 772 mg (67\%) of a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $\left.d_{8}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=1.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.7-6.2(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.

1,5-Dicyclooctatetraenylnaphthalene (2). To a stirred solution of 1,5-diiodonaphthalene $(0.946 \mathrm{~g}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(0.114 \mathrm{~g}, 0.125$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}(0.306 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 25 mL of anhydrous THF at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added a solution of $1.339 \mathrm{~g}(5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SnCOT}^{4}$ in 5 mL of dry THF. After 24 h 50 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added followed by 50 mL of $50 \%$ saturated aqueous KF . The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min and filtered, and the organic layer was washed with $1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $50 \%$ saturated aqueous $\mathrm{KF}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ of brine. The combined aqueous layers were extracted with $25 \mathrm{~mL}^{\text {of }} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in 200 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane and 4 g of SG was added. The solvent was then removed by rotary evaporation and the residue was chromatographed on 50 g of SG with $5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /hexane to afford $285 \mathrm{mg}(35 \%)$ of a light yellow solid: $\mathrm{TLC}\left(10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ /hexane $) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.2 ; \mathrm{mp} 120.0-$ $120.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR 3558, 3480, 3400, 3072, 3043, 3008, 1642, 1504, 1370, $808,764,680,620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV $\lambda_{\max }$ (cyclohexane) $=300 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 12500)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $\left.d_{8}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 8.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.40(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 7.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.8-6.2(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}$, 14 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (THF- $\left.d_{8},-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 144.4,141.6,135.9,133.9,132.9$, $132.8,132.7,132.6,132.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}\right.$ of COT), 131.9, $126.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2,4}\right), 126.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$. (HETCOR was employed to locate the overlapped signals at $\delta 126.5$.) Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ : C, 93.94; H, 6.06. Found: C, 93.68; H, 6.29.

Preparation of NMR Samples of Dianions. Samples of $\mathbf{2}^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{M}^{+}$ and $3^{2-} / 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$were prepared directly in custom-lengthened Wilmad Ultra-Imperial 5 mm NMR tubes by reduction of $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ with an alkali metal. A small glass wool plug was inserted into the upper part of an NMR tube containing 2 or 3 , THF- $d_{8}$, and approximately $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cyclohexane. An excess of alkali metal was placed on top of the glass wool and the sample was degassed with 5 freeze-pump-thaw cycles and sealed under an atmosphere of argon. For the potassium and sodium samples, a mirror of metal was formed by freezing the solution in liquid nitrogen and heating the tube above the metal with a gentle flame. Agitation of the tube to bring the metal into contact with the heated glass produced a mirror. After cooling, reduction was initiated by inverting the tube to allow the solution to filter through the glass wool plug and come into contact with the mirror. In the case of the lithium
(17) Van Geet, A. L. Anal. Chem. 1970, 42, 679.
samples, the solution was allowed to come into contact with cleaned pieces of lithium wire and reduction was initiated with sonication. The tube was inverted repeatedly until the proper reduction stage was reached, as judged from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. NMR spectra of dianion samples stored in a freezer typically showed no decomposition over a period of several months.

Dipotassium Salt of 1-Cyclooctatetraenylnaphthalene ( $\mathbf{3}^{\mathbf{2 -}} \mathbf{~ / 2 K} \mathbf{K}^{+}$). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $\left.d_{8}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.2-$ $7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.7-6.0(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (THF- $d_{8},-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 158.4,135.4,134.1,131.8,129.4,127.8,124.6$, 124.1, 123.0, 120.5, 101.9, 95.3, 93.2, 91.8, 89.8.

Dipotassium Salt of 1,5-Dicyclooctatetraenylbenzene ( $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2 -}} / \mathbf{2 K} \mathbf{K}^{+}$). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $\left.d_{8}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 8.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.96(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4$, $\left.7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.8-6.9\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 5.4-6.1(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (THF- $d_{8},-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 159.4,146.1,140.0,137.2,134.7,133.6,133.8$, 133.1 ( $\mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}$ of COT), 132.6, 131.8, 131.6, 129.1, 124.9, 124.7, 122.2, 118.8, 103.3, 96.0, 94.3, 92.5, 89.0.

Disodium Salt of 1,5-Dicyclooctatetraenylbenzene ( $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2 -}} / \mathbf{2} \mathbf{N a}{ }^{+}$). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $\left.d_{8}, 24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 8.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4,7.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.9-7.1\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 5.4-6.1(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (THF-$\left.d_{8},-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 158.5,146.1,140.1,136.7,134.6,133.5,133.2,133.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}$ of COT), 132.2, 131.6, 131.0, 129.1, 124.2, 124.5, 122.2, 119.0, 99.5, 92.7, 89.5, 88.5, 86.2.

Dilithium Salt of 1,5-Dicyclooctatetraenylbenzene ( $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2 -}} / \mathbf{2} \mathbf{L i}^{+}$). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $\left.d_{8}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 8.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4,6.9$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.8-6.9\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 5.4-6.1(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (THF-$\left.d_{8},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 159.1,146.2,140.1,136.7,134.7,133.6,133.2,133.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}$ of COT), 132.2, 131.4, 131.1, 128.1, 124.7, 124.5, 122.0, 118.7, 103.2, 96.0, 89.5, 88.7, 86.0.

Kinetic Methods and Error Analysis. All kinetic studies were performed with duplicate samples and the results were averaged. Rate constants were calculated with the equation $k=\pi \Delta W_{\text {exch }}$, where $\Delta W_{\text {exch }}$ is the exchange broadening (in Hz ) in the slow exchange region. ${ }^{18}$ In each case the line width of $\mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}$ (for BS ) or $\mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime \prime}}$ (for the new exchange process) was employed as a reference. The protocol for determining $W_{\text {exch }}$ has been given previously. ${ }^{1}$ Free energies of activation were calculated from the rate constants by using the Eyring equation. ${ }^{18}$

The uncertainties in the rate constants were estimated from replicate measurements because differential error analysis yielded unreasonably small uncertainties in the rate constants. For the line width studies, the replicate measurements gave errors in the rate constants of $\pm 15 \%$ for dianion samples, which propagate as $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$. The maximum error in these measurements is most likely due to temperature uncertainties (estimated to be $\pm 1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), although unknown chemical differences between ostensibly identical samples, magnetic field instabilities, and variations in spectrometer electronics may also contribute.

Computational Methods. Ab initio molecular orbital calculations and geometry optimizations were performed using the GAUSSIAN98W ${ }^{19}$ series of programs at the HF/3-21G(*)20 level of theory. The eigenfollower routine was employed to determine the critical points given

[^6]in Table 5. Fully optimized geometries of the ground states and COT ring inversion and bond rotation TSs were shown to have zero and one imaginary frequency, respectively, by analytical frequency analysis.
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